STUBIUL ROTECTION
5 V
FLORIDA

SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:					
AIRS ID#: 0112533 DATE: 07/23/2008 ARRIVE: 10:30AM DEPART: 11:15AM FACILITY NAME: NASCA BODY SHOP FACILITY LOCATION: 4515 NORTH DIXIE HIGHWAY FORT LAUDERDALE 33334-3922 OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JOSEPH NASCA PHONE: (954)493-7468 CONTACT NAME: PHONE:					
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 5/21/2006 / 5/21/2011 (effective date) (end date)					
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box) IN COMPLIANCE MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE					
PART II: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c).4.a., F.A.C.) ☑ Yes □ No 2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?					
PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es)) 1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.) ☑ Yes □No 2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.) ☑ Yes □No					

PART III: <u>CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)

(check d appropriate box(es))

3.	Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees
	involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
	a) maintaining array agating againment to ansure offective application with a minimum of overantay?

a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray?	Xes 🗌 No
b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?	Xes 🗌 No
c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)?	Yes No
d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?	Yes No
e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:	
1. spraving light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning	

֥	sprafing ingine colored could go colored could go to reduce and name of or creating			
	cycles?	Yes	ו 🗖	No
	cycles:			10
2)	recycling cleaning solvents?	Yes	י רח	No
2)	recycling cleaning solvents:		<u> </u>	. 10
3)	using water based cleaners?	Yes	י 🗖	No
5)		L 103	<u> </u>	10

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>		
1. Since the last inspection has there been		
	Yes	No
	Yes	No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	No
d) If you answered \underline{YES} to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	No

Elizabeth F.Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

07/23/2008

Date of Inspection

07/23/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 07/23/2008, AQD staff observed operations at Nasca Body Shop. The facility has two booths and haz-mat storage area. Housekeeping was o.k. and paint supplier is sending VOC records. Mr. Joe Nasca accompanied staff on the inspection.